## PROCEEDINGS

OFA

General Court MARTIAL,

HELD AT THE

JUDGE ADVOCATE'S OFFICE.

IN THE

### HORSE GUARDS,

On SATURDAY the 14th, and continued by Adjournment to WEDNESDAY the 18th APRIL 1764;

FOR THE

TRIAL of a CHARGE

PREFERRED

By COLIN CAMPBELL, Efq;

ACAINST THE HONOURABLE

Major General MONCKTON.

#### LONDON:

Printed for JAMES ROBSON, Bookseller to the Princes Dowager of WALES, in New Bond-Street. MDCCLXIV.

### ERRATA.

PROGENOUNCS

General Court Mikry Min.

ANT TA CARM

UDGE ADVOLTED OF ME

Page 32, Line 23, for Arbemarle's read Albemarle's.

P. 38, line 15, for martial read material. P. 88, line 5.
for falcities, read falsities.

ALLA COLLA CHIA

DIACT (Spring)

Isolet for the same of the same for the same of the sa

OTRESTE SAN



# General Court MAR

#### HELD

The Judge Advocate General's Office at the Horse Guards on Saturday the 14th, and continued, by feveral Adjournments, to Wednesday the 18th of April 1764, by virtue of his Majesty's special Warrant, bearing date the 26th day of last month.

Lieutenant General Sir John Mordaunt, Prefident,

Lt. Gen. James Cholmondeley, Lt. Gen. Francis Leighton

Lt. Gen. John Earl Waldegrave | Lt. Gen. Edward Carr

Lt. Gen. John Earl of Loudoun

Lt. Gen. John Moftyn

Lt.

Lt. Gen. George Boscawen
Lt. Gen. Bennet Noel
Lt. Gen. Philip Honeywood
Maj. Gen. Daniel Webb
Maj. Gen. John Stanwix
Maj. Gen. Archibald Douglas
Maj. Gen. Sir John Griffin
Griffin

Lt. Gen. George Howard
Lt. Gen. Ld. Robert Bertie
Maj. Gen. James Durand
Maj. Gen. John Fitz William
Maj. Gen. Joseph Hudson
Maj. Gen. Robert Armiger
Maj. Gen. Studbolme Hodgson

Charles Gould, Deputy Judge Advocate General.

The members and Judge Advocate being duly fworn,

Monchton came before the court, and was charged upon the Complaint of Colin Campbell, Esq, heretofore Major Commandant of the late 100th regiment of soot, with many wrongs and deliberate acts of oppression towards the said Colin Campbell, when under his command in the island of Martinique, in the year 1762, particularly by several marks of affront and indignity both to the person of the said Colin Campbell, and to the corps then under his command; and also whilst a trial of the said Colin Campbell, bell

bell was depending before a general court martial, by discouraging his friends, intimidating his witnesses, and depriving him of the lawful means of defence, as well as by fuppressing the proceedings of the said general court martial from the Earl of Albemarle, Lieutenant General of his Majesty's forces, (who is alledged, by the faid Colin Campbell, to have had at that time cognizance of the sentences of courts martial held in the said island of Martinique) under a pretence of the faid proceedings being transmitted to Great Britain, when in truth they were still in his own custody: And furthermore, by a cruel confinement of the faid Colin Campbell, who was then ill, in a noifome and unhealthy prison, even though it was at that time known to the faid Major General Monckton, that the sentence against the faid Colin Campbell was not capital.

t

d

f

11

Major General Monchton mentioned to the court, that although he might very justly except to answering several particulars of the charge, as laid by the prosecutor, yet he is ready and willing, and indeed solicitous to answer minutely to every part thereof; but as his Majesty's secretary at war sometime

ago furnished him with a copy of the complaint exhibited against him by the prosecutor, confisting of four general articles, with a particular explanation of each article, and as in this form the complaint was laid before the king, he did conclude, that to the particular explanation of those four articles he was to make his defence, and had prepared it accordingly. And, for these reafons, intreated that the court will order the faid four articles of complaint, with their particular explanation, may be read, of which he had authentic copies, received from his

Majesty's secretary at war.

The court taking this request of Major General Monckton into confideration, is of opinion, that the complainant be at liberty to profecute the charge, as stated in his Majesty's warrant. To which charge Major General Monckton must necessarily answer; and that, if in the course of his defence it shall be material for him to shew either that there is any substantial variation between the present charge and that originally exhibited, or that the wording of the latter indicates any greater degree of malevolence, or for any other purpose conducive to his defence, it may then be proper to lay the faid four articles, with their explanation, before the court.

Mr. Campbell then entered upon the several articles of charge, in the order wherein they stand in his Majesty's warrant; and as one instance of affront and indignity to him and the corps under his command, proposed to shew,

0

d

e

S

r

f

y

-

r

it

at

e

ł,

es

e,

"That the regiment was disarmed a few days after their arrival in Martinique, by order of Major General Monckton." To prove which

Lieutenant Scipio Duroure Campbell, late of the 100th regiment, was sworn and examined.

2. Was the 100th regiment at, or foon after their landing in *Martinique*, deprived of their arms?

A. Their arms were exchanged for others, they were not otherwise disarmed.

2. What kind of arms did they receive in exchange?

A. Very bad, and mostly unfit for service; they were carabines or light arms.

2. Does he know of any other regiment

A 3 in

in that army which was deprived of their arms?

A. He can't fay he does.

2. Were the Barbadoes corps deprived of their arms?

A. Not to his knowledge.

2. What kind of corps were the Barba-does corps?

A. A fort of militia; some whites and some blacks.

Q. Does he know whether Mr. Campbell folicited Major General Monckton for any command after this exchange of arms in his own corps?

A. He can't say he knows whether he folicited it; he has heard Mr. Campbell mention it since.

Q. Does he think the 100th regiment was fit for service after the exchange of these arms?

A. He can't say he is a judge.

Q. (by defire of Major General Monckton) With what regiment did the 100th regiment exchange arms?

A. With the light infantry of Colonel Montgomery's; there were arms received from fome

fome other light infantry, but which did not come to his knowledge.

Q. In what situation were the light infantry, with whom these arms were exchanged, considered in the army; whether as picked, or chosen men, or not?

A. He looked upon them as picked or chosen men, and believes the rest of the ar-

my did fo.

2. (by the court) What different species of fire arms were in that army?

A. Two; heavy muskets and light arms, fo far as he knows.

2. Whether the light infantry and the Highland regiment were not all armed with the light arms?

A. The light infantry was; as to the Highland regiment he cannot fay.

Mr. Juhn Davis, late surgeon to the 100th

regiment, was fworn and examined.

Q. Did he see the soldiers of the regiment, at the time of the exchange of arms, crowd about Mr. Campbell to complain of the insufficiency of their arms?

A. He did, after the exchange.

2. Did he see any officers, as well as soldiers?

A. He did.

Q. (by defire of Major General Monckton)
Of what did the officers and foldiers complain with regard to their arms?

A. He does not know any particulars; only, in general, they complained of their arms

being infufficient.

Lieutenant Scipio Duroure Campbell was called again at the defire of Mr. Campbell, and asked,

Q. When he speaks of the arms received in exchange as bad and mostly unsit for service, in what respect does he consider them as unserviceable?

A. They were old arms, a great many broken.

2. In what manner was the 100th regiment employed the day of the attack, the

24th January 1762.

A. The three companies of which the battalion then confifted were employed in drawing of cannon, and carrying fascines; there was a fourth company which was doing duty with the grenadiers.

It was here intimated to Mr. Campbell by the court, that, he must confine himself to the matters of the charge, viz. "Any marks of indignity or affront to him or bis

"his corps;" and not to enter upon the disposition of the troops, or the propriety of Major General Monckton's conduct in that respect, which was not referred to them by his Majesty, neither did it appear to them a proper object of their inquiry.

Q. (to the witness) Did Mr. Campbell send him for orders to Brigadier Rufane, about two o'clock in the morning of the attack?

A. He did.

Mr. Campbell again mentioning his corps being employed in carrying shot and fafcines, and drawing cannon, he was expressly asked whether he looks upon the corps having been so employed as a mark of affront and indignity to him or his regiment, who answered, the repeating of it he thought so.

He then produced as another mark of indignity, which he meant to infift upon, Major General Monckton's having employed a corps, then commanded by a captain, in preference to his, notwithstanding both corps formed part of the same brigade, when they were sent from Guadelupe; but it appearing that the brigade was afterwards seperated, and each of them being beyond all doubt liable to be employed at the discretion of the commander in chief. This point was in like

like manner over-ruled, and upon the fame

principle with the former.

Another matter urged by Mr. Campbell, as an oppression and indignity to himself and corps, was, depriving the sick men of that corps of the use of the hospital. To prove this

Mr. John Davis, surgeon, was farther examined.

2. Were the fick of the 100th regiment refused admittance at *Martinique* into the hospital?

A. The deponent made feveral times remonstrances to Mr. Adair, who was director of the hospital, that they were not received, when he was informed that other corps were.

2. In what manner were the fick of the rooth regiment, particularly, provided for in the field, after being refused admittance into the hospital?

A. There was a shed made for part of them not sufficient for more than 30 or 40 men; the rest were obliged to remain in their tents.

Q. Were there not houses in the town of Fort Royal adjacent, where the sick of that

that regiment might have been accommodated?

- A. There were feveral houses there.
- Q. Were those houses empty?

t

e

t

- A. He can't fay he examined them.
- Q. Were those houses at the disposition of the general?
  - A. He is not a judge of that.
- Q. Does he know, whether Mr. Campbell made any remonstrances to General Monckton on that head?
- A. He had no other knowledge of it than Mr. Campbell himself, then Major Commandant, telling him he had remonstrated on his making a return to him.
- 2. (by the court) What reason did Mr. Adair assign for the men of the 100th regiment not being admitted?
- A. He told him, the hospital was full when the deponent knew it was not.—The witness explained himself, that he understood it was not full, from the report of a surgeon of another regiment.
- Q. Did the deponent make a fecond remonstrance to Mr. Adair, saying it was not full?
  - A. He did not.

Mr. Campbell said as the court had thought fit to over-rule the aforementioned matters, which he meant to have insisted upon as marks of affront and indignity, he should not trouble them any further on that head, but proceed to another article of charge; and not being fully prepared to enter upon that, which was next point of time, proceeded to the fourth or last article, respecting the severity of his confinement.

In support whereof Mr. John Davis was again examined.

2. In what manner was Mr. Campbell confined?

A. He was confined in the same house, which he occupied before, only with a guard over him.

2. Does he remember Mr. Campbell being removed from that quarter?

A. Yes.

2. To what kind of place was he removed?

A. He was removed to a small place in the fort.

Being defired to describe it, he said, The place was very small, and, as far as he can judge, it must have been under water, when

it rained, from the fituation of it, being funk in the ground.

Q. What was the fize of it?

ıt

s,

d

;

1

d

e

- A. He can't be very particular as to the fize, as he might be deceived; it might be, as far as he knows, about ten feet, to the best of his memory, square.
- 2. Does he remember a large grate, which formed one fide of it?
- A. He does: It was an open grate, and appeared to the deponent fomething like a door.
- 2. What was on the other fide of that grate?
- A. When he saw it, it was full of rubbish, and seemingly old rags. This was before Mr. Campbell was confined in it.
- 2. Was he ever there during Mr. Campbell's confinement.
  - A. Not that he remembers.
- Q. Does he remember figning any certificate of the unhealthiness and impropriety of the place?
  - A. He does.
- 2. What was Mr. Campbell's state of health the day of his confinement?
  - A. Soon after his being first confined, he was

was taken ill of a fever, upon which the deponent sent Mr. Monroe, the physician, to visit him; and Mr. Campbell continued ill till the witness was taken ill himself; which, as far as he remembers, was in about a fortnight; he can't be particular as to the time.

Q. Did he wait on Mr. Campbell the day

he was removed to the fort?

A. Yes.

2. What was Mr. Campbell's then state of health?

A. He had a fever at that time, and a fwelling in his legs, which the Deponent supposes was occasioned by that fever.

2. Does he remember waiting on the commanding officer in the fort, with a remonstrance concerning the intended removal?

A. He remembers his being fent, but it was so early in the morning the commanding officer could not be seen.

2. Does he think it possible, from Mr. Campbell's then state of health, that he could have lived in that place of confinement, had he been continued there?

A. He can't speak, as to possibilities, but does

does not think it was probable for a man in bealth to have lived there any time.

2. Does he know of any order from Major General Monckton for Mr. Campbell's removal to the fort, prior to the time when the removal took place?

A. There was an order the night before; but from whom it was he can't fay.

2. Does he know of Mr. Campbell writing to Major General Monchton for permission to use any exercise for the recovery of his health?

A. The deponent advised him to write, and one of the officers told him he had carried the letter; but the deponent did not see it.

2. Did he see that officer deliver Major Campbell his own letter, which was returned unopened?

A. He don't remember to have been prefent; but that officer (the adjutant who is now dead) often told him so.

Q. (by the court) At what time was Mr. Campbell removed?

A. After the court martial.

2. Did he know any reason, or hear any assigned for the removal?

A. He

A. He don't recollect any.

2. Of what nature was the crime for which Mr. Campbell was confined.

A. It was, supposing it proved, a capital offence.

2. (by defire of Mr. Campbell) Was not his confinement after trial more severe than before and during the trial?

A. He refers to his former evidence, wherein he has faid the close confinement was after trial.

Robert Calendar, now and at the time in question, servant to Mr. Campbell, was sworn and examined.

Q. Was he in Mr. Campbell's quarters the night before his removal to the fort?

A. Yes.

2. Does he remember a party of armed foldiers coming for the Major late at night?

A. Yes, he does.

Q. Was Mr. Campbell in bed?

A. Yes.

2. Did Mr. Campbell fend the deponent for any person?

A. He don't recollect he did.

2. Does he remember the serjeant, or officer,

officer, who commanded the party, making any fearch for an hammock?

A. Yes; he heard orders given out to fee and get an hammock, if any could be got

in the camp.

2. Does he remember an officer and a party of foldiers entering Mr. Campbell's appartment early next morning?

A. He does.

lovilne

Q. Did he hear what passed between the officer and Mr. Campbell?

A. The deponent saw the officer offering to take Mr. Campbell out of bed; he sent soldiers to lay hold of him to take him out.

2. Did Mr. Campbell make any refist-

A. He heard his master express a wish that somebody would shoot him through the the head.

2. Was Mr. Campbell carried to the fort

A. To the best of his remembrance, he was?

Q. What construction did he put upon that wish of his master, "That somebody "would shoot him?"

A. He

A. He took it to arise from his being informed of the bad prison he was going to.

Q. Was he in the place of confinement within the fort?

A. Yes.

2. Does he remember a large grate hanging on chains from the ceiling?

A. Yes.

2. Does he remember any horrid stench or smell from the grate?

A. When the wind blew up the fally port he remembers it smelled a little: remembers his master complained very often of it.

2. Does he know the use which was ge-

nerally made of that fally port?

A. He remembers his master sending him down to see what was the occasion of its smelling so, and he saw a good deal of nastiness up and down the stairs, being a dark pair of stairs.

2. Did he ever see his master's floorcloths, swimming about the floor after rain?

A. He has feen them quite wet so as to be obliged to take them up, and sweep away the water before his master could get out of bed, when it had rained a good deal.

2. Does he remember his master being obliged

obliged to go to bed, for want of a dry place to fit in, when it rained?

- A. After a good deal of rain, the floor being a good way under ground, the water used to come in under the door and window.
- 2. Did ever Mr. Campbell shew any the least intention of making his escape before his confinement in the fort?
  - A. Not as he knows.
- 2. (by the court) Did Mr. Campbell make or attempt an escape after his being in the fort?
- A. He intended it, but did not effect it, whilst he was in the fort; but afterwards he was removed to the town, and did then effect his escape.

It being on the stroke of three,

Adjournment till Monday morning ten

Monday, 16th April 1764.

The court being met pursuant to adjournment.

Lieutenant Scipio Duroure Campbell was again examined.

2. In what manner was Mr. Campbell con-B 2 fined fined before, and until the conclusion of his

A. He was confined to the house where he lived before the affair happened.

2. How long did he remain confined in those quarters?

A. He remained till Lord Albemarle's departure from Martinique, which he thinks was the 6th or 7th of May.

2. Does he know of any order for imprifoning Mr. Campbell in the fort after his trial?

A. Not till Lord Albemarle had left the island. After that there was an order from Lieutenant Colonel Darby that he should be removed to the fort, and, if not able to walk, that he should be carried in an hammock. It was a written order.

Lieutenant Colonel John Darby, adjutantgeneral upon the late expedition to Martinique, was sworn and examined.

2. What orders did he receive with regard to Mr. Campbell's being confined in the fort, and from whom?

A. He don't recollect receiving any orders respecting his being confined in the fort; he knows

knows it was intended, and he believes an order was delivered by Major General Monckton verbally to Colonel Rufane, who succeeded to the command; but Mr. Campbell was not sent to the fort, till after Major General Monckton left the island, to the best of his recollection.

A paper, purporting to be part of a letter from the witness to Lieutenant Colonel Chester, being produced, the witness acknowledges it to be his hand writing, and believes it was wrote in consequence of an order given by Colonel Rusane; but the first part of the letter is torn off, which contained the date, concerning which he cannot at this distance of time speak positively from memory: from the signature not being accompanied with the addition of Adjutant General, he believes it must have been after Major General Monckton's departure, when the witness was governor of the fort.

The part of the letter now remaining, relates to "the removal of Mr. Campbell in an "hammock, if not well enough to walk or "ride."

B 3

Lieutenant

Lieutenant Colonel Peter Chester was

Q. Did he send this letter (the same produced to the last witness) in its present state, viz. a part torn off, to the commanding officer of the 100th regiment.

A. He can't positively say he remembers receiving an order for Mr. Campbell being received into the fort; there might be a part of this letter not relative to Major Campbell, and which he might tear off; but at this distance of time can't be certain: he thinks he received the letter the 14th May; and that Major General Monckton had quitted the island before he received that order.

Q. Was Mr. Campbell confined to the fort

A. Mr. Campbell was brought prisoner into the fort the 15th of May, to the best of his recollection.

Q. Is he certain Major General Monchton was not at Martinique when he received that order?

A. He only speaks from report; he heard the general had lest Martinique; the deponent was in another part of the island from the general's quarters. He is pretty certain Major

Major General Monckton had left the island, because on the 16th he received a letter from Brigadier Rufane, which the witness has now in his hand, in which he writes as having then the command.

2. Whether he has now or can produce the order?

A. He cannot: He did not expect to be called here.

2. Had he any other order besides the letter, a part whereof is now before the court?

A. there was another order for carrying him to the fort; this, now produced, relating only to the manner of removing him.—
That first order directed, where Mr. Campbell was to be confined, he can't say with certainty by whom it was signed.

Lieutenant Colonel Darby (who had withdrawn only until Lieutenant Colonel Chester should have ascertained either the date or time of receiving the letter in question) was again called, and desired by the court to explain himself more fully, as to his belief of Major General Monckton having given an order to Colonel Rufane for confining Mr. Campbell in the fort.

The witness explained, that Major Gene-

ral Monchton expressed his intention to Colonel Rufane of removing Mr. Campbell, at that time under the sentence of a general court martial, for the murder of Captain M'Kaarg, into the Fort Royal. He can't certainly say, whether he was present when Major General Monchton mentioned this to Colonel Rufane, but he heard it afterwards both from Major General Monchton and Colonel Rufane.

2. Has the witness any note of the order?

A. He has not.

2. (by desire of Mr. Campbell) How long did Major General Monckton stay in Martinique after the staff of the island was appointed?

A. Major General Monckton, on the 9th of May came down stairs with a bundle of papers in his hand, and gave the witness his appointment, which was dated the day preceding, and delivered to several others their respective appointments, and left the island the same evening.

Mr. Campbell seeming to think the witness mistaken in the time of Major General Monckton's leaving Martinique; he referred to an entry in a book of minutes or orders, and thence informed the court, that he could speak

fpeak with certainty of Major General Monckton's not being in the island on the 10th, on which day he finds an order given out by Brigadier Rufane; and the parole for the day was, "Monckton."

Captain David Haldane, late of the 100th regiment of foot, was fworn and examined.

2. Did he receive any order for confining Mr. Campbell in the fort, and of what date; and on what day did he receive it?

A. He received a letter from Lieutenant Colonel Chester, dated the 14th May, importing that Mr. Campbell was to be removed into the fort, and, if he was too ill to walk or ride, to be carried in an hammock.

Lieutenant Scipio Duroure Campbell was called again, and asked,

Q. Does he know the particular day of Mr. Campbell's being removed into the fort?

A. He can't fay particularly.

2. Does he know, whether Major General Monckton had left the island?

A. He don't remember.

The farther confideration of this article of charge was postponed at the pressing instance of Mt. Campbell, in order to his having the benefit

benefit of Vice Admiral Sir George Rodney's evidence, whose attendance hitherto had been prevented by illness; and from whose testimony he insisted it would appear that his confinement within the fort had taken place before Major General Monckton had lest the island; and that he, the Vice Admiral had made representation, at Mr. Campbell's request, to Major General Monckton concerning such confinement.

And it was directed, that Vice Admiral Sir George Rodney should be summoned, and particularly requested, if his health would admit, to attend to-morrow morning at the sitting of the court:

Mr. Campbell then proceeded to the second article of charge, respecting his treatment by Major General Monchton's order or procurement anterior to and during his trial, viz.

" The discouraging of his friends, intimi-

" dating his witnesses, and depriving him of

" the lawful means of defence."

In support whereof Lieutenant Colonel John Darby was again called and examined;

2. Was any order given for a court of inquiry to be held upon Mr. Campbell in Martinique?

A. He don't recollect any order paffing through his hands; but believes a court of inquiry was held.

2. By whose authority?

A. He can't fay.

2. Did he ever receive any orders, and from whom, for intercepting Mr. Campbell's letters?

A. He did not; it was impossible he should have received any such order, from his being twenty miles distant from the place where Mr. Campbell was.

2. Did he receive any orders from Major General Monckton relative to Mr. Campbell's confinement, and the manner of it?

This question did not receive any answer, Mr. Campbell immediately subjoining, that he had the order to produce.

2. Did he receive any order from Major General Monckton, " That no person what-

" foever be permitted to visit Major Com-

mandant Campbell; but in presence of

" the officer of the guard, and that all let-

" ters both to and from the Major be exa-

" mined by the commanding officer of the

" regiment."

- A. He recollects no fuch order; the or-

ders from Fort Royal went through Colonel Haviland, the deponent being at St. Pierre's; he don't remember seeing any such order; he may have seen it, and at this distance of time not recollect it.

Q. Does he recollect receiving any order from Major General Monchton, that "no "ferjeant, corporal, drummer, or foldier be-"longing to the regiment, upon pain of the feverest punishment, and the General's displeasure, will presume to appear to give evidence, unless he is summoned by the court martial, or ordered by Captain Mack-"donald to attend?"

A. No: he does not recollect such order.

A Question was here proposed by the court to Mr. Campbell, whether he means to insist, that he applied for any witnesses to attend, whose evidence was resused him.

To which he answered, No: that is no part of his charge; at the same time explaining, that he complains of the consequences the orders, suggested to have been given, must have had upon his friends and witnesses.

Captain Alexander M'Donald was called, by defire of Mr. Campbell, who, upon farther ther confideration, declined for the present examining him, as a witness.

Mr. Campbell then proceeded to the third article of complaint, which charges, that Major General Monckton "fuppressed the "proceedings of the general court martial,

" which had been held in Martinique from

" Lieutenant General the Earl of Albemarle,

" under a pretence of the faid proceedings

" being transmitted to Great Britain, when,

" in truth, they were still in his own custody."
In support of this article,

The Right Honourable George Earl of Albemarle, Lieutenant General of his Ma-jesty's forces was sworn and examined.

2. At what time did his lordship arrive

in Martinique?

A. On the 25th or 26th April 1762.

2. Did his lordship take the command of the army in that island?

A. He did not.

2. Did he receive any letter from Mr. Campbell relative to a court martial, which had been held for his trial?

A. Yes.

2. Does his lordship recollect his answer to that letter?

A. He can't say he does recollect it exactly.

A letter being produced to his lordship,
he acquainted the court, that the letter is of
his signing, and wrote by his order. The
same was read as follows.

" Namur in Fort Royal Harbour, May 1st 1762.

" Sir.

"I received your letter inclosing the mi"nutes of your defence. As your court
"martial is gone to England to be laid be"fore his Majesty, you must necessarily
"remain here, till the King's pleasure is
"known. In the mean time I am per"sudded you will meet with all the indul"gence from General Monckton, which a
"person in your unfortunate situation can
"reasonably expect. I am,

" Sir, your most obedient servant,

" Albemarle."

" Major Colin Campbell."

Mr. Campbell making mention of the Earl of Arbemarle's powers, and of the foundation he had for applying to his lord-ship concerning the court martial,

His lordship acquainted the court, that he had powers from his majesty to have taken taken the command; but that, as his stay in the island was to be very short, he did not choose to take a command, which he found in the hands of Major General Monckton, who had conquered the island, so much to his own honour and to the satisfaction of the whole army.

2. Did Major General Monchton inform his lordship of the proceedings of Mr. Campbell's court martial being gone to England?

A. Upon his arrival in Martinique, Major General Monckton came on board the Namur, with the returns of the army under his command, and a report of the forwardness of the embarkation of the troops destined for the fervice under his lordship's command: and at the same time holding out a paper. faid, " My lord, these are the proceedings " of a general court martial upon Major " Campbell, accused of the murder of a " captain in the same regiment; will your " lordship please to give me your commands " upon it." His answer was, That he had determined not to interfere in any shape with his, Major General Monckton's command, and he believes he affigned his reasons for declining

declining it, almost in the words already mentioned. Major General Monckton replied, "He must then send the court martial to "England, not having the power to confirm general courts martial upon commissioned officers."—This Major General Monckton told his lordship, the 25th or 26th of April, which will very easily account for the letter to Major Campbell; as he had at that time suffly determined not to interfere with regard to the court martial.

Mr. Campbell then reverted to the article of charge respecting his being "cruelly

" confined in the fort, &c.

Colonel William Rufane was sworn and examined on that head—Who deposed, that he remembers Major General Monckton, just before he lest the island of Martinique, telling the deponent, that he thought it would be proper Mr. Campbell should be confined in the fort; and after Major General Monckton was gone, the deponent remembers to have told Lieutenant Colonel Darby, who was with him at St. Pierres, and then governor of Fort Royal, that he should write to the officer who commanded in his absence

sence at Fort Royal, that Mr. Campbell should be removed into the fort.

2. Did Major General Monchton affign any reasons for Mr. Campbell's being removed into the fort?

A. Major General Monckton never said any thing to him, which in the least implied severity in his intentions relative to the confinement of Mr. Campbell; he assigned no particular reasons for removing him.

Captain Richard Baillie, of the 35th regiment of foot, (who officiated as judge advocate upon the trial of Mr. Campbell in Martinique) was sworn and questioned.

2. Whether he laid the proceedings and fentence of the court martial before Major General Monchton?

A. He accompanied Colonel Massey the president, in order to lay them before Major General Monchton, but the deponent was not present, when Colonel Massey, as he is informed, did lay them before him.

Major General Monchton, to fave time to the court, admitted his having feen the proceedings, and his knowledge of the fentence.

A minute of the proceedings, so far as relates to the charge and sentence, was then C read. read, the same being admitted by the parties to be authentick,—in words following:

"Proceedings of a general court martial,

" held at Fort Royal in the island of Mar-

" tinico, the 6th day of April 1762 by virtue of a warrant from the honourable Ma-

" jor General Monckton, &c."

"The warrant being read, and the court

" and deputy judge advocate being duly

" fworn, they proceeded to the trial of

" Major Colin Campbell, Major Comman-

" dant of his Majesty's rooth regiment of

" foot, who was brought prisoner before the

court, and accused of murder, committed

" by him, on the body of the late John

" M'Kaarg, Esq; Captain in his Majesty's

" 100th regiment of foot, on, or about 26th

" March last, by giving the said M'Kaarg

various wounds and stabs in several parts

" of the body, of which wounds and stabs

" he very shortly expired."

SENTENCE - " The court on due confi-

" deration of the whole matter before them,

" is of opinion, that Major Commandant

" Colin Campbell is guilty of the crime laid

" to his charge, but there not being a fuf-

" ficient majority of voices to punish with death,

" death, as required by the articles of war,

" the court doth adjudge the faid Major

"Commandant Campbell, to be cashiered for

" the same: and it is the farther opinion of

"the court, that he is incapable to ferve

his majesty in any military employment whatsoever."

Mr. Campbell here defired to inform the court, in order to prevent any impression, which the sentence might have made to his prejudice, that the said proceedings of the said court martial have been annulled, and declared void by his Majesty, and for proof thereof refers to the letter, wherein the King's pleasure was signified to him to that purpose.

The letter referred to by Mr. Campbell, was thereupon communicated to the court,

as follows;

S

t

ý

of

e

d

172

s

h

g

ts

OS

i-

n,

nt

id

f-

th

h,

"Judge Advocate's Office, August 4th. 1762.

"The proceedings and sentence of a ge"neral court martial held for your trial at

" Fort Royal in the island of Martinique, on

" the 6th, and continued by adjournments to

" the 13th day of April last, having been

'a laid before the king, whereby you was

or found guilty of murder committed by you on the body of the late John M'Kaarg, " Efq; Captain in his Majesty's rooth " regiment of foot, and was adjudged to be " cashiered for the same, (the sentence reciting, that the majority of voices was not " sufficient to punish with death, agreeable " to the articles of the war) and you was " farther declared by the opinion of the " court, incapable of ferving his Majesty in any military employment whatfoever; " I am to acquaint you, that the court martial having been very irregular in deor puting a part of the court to receive the evidence of two martial witnesses, at which " examination every member who gives " any voice in the fentence, is indispensibly " required to be prefent, and in fwearing " a new member after the trial had " been begun and in the middle of the evi-" dence. His majesty hath not thought or proper to confirm the proceedings; but " his Majesty being intirely satisfied with the " opinion of the court from the evidence in e general, he hath thought fit to order you to be immediately dismissed from his fer-" vice, as unworthy of being employed in ce any

"apy military capacity whatever; which

" difmission it is the duty of my office to

s fignify to you accordingly. I am,

Sir, your most obedient servant

" Charles Gould."

" Major Colin Campbell."

It being on the stroke of three, Adjourned till the morrow morning, ten o'clock.

Tuesday, 17th April,

The court being met perfuant to adjournment.

Vice Admiral Sir George Brydges Rodney, Bart. being fworn, was examined.

2. Did he receive any letter from Mr. Campbell, on the subject of his being confined within the fort at Fort Royal in Martinique?

A. He believes he did.

Q. Was Major General Monckton then at St. Pierre's?

A. he can't ascertain that, as he don't recollect the particular time, when he received the letter, but it appears from his journals, at what time Major General Monckton failed from thence; which he has inspected upon this occasion, and finds, that the general failed from St. Pierre's the 10th of May 1762,

1762, at eight o'clock in the evening, in the Modeste: but as the mariners compute the day from twelve at noon, this was in the common acceptation, the evening of the 9th May.

Colonel William Haviland was fworn and

examined.

2. Did he receive any order from Major General Monchton, posterior to the trial, for confining Mr. Campbell in the fort?

A. He received a letter from Major General Monckton, prior to the trial, in the following words:

" St. Peter's, March 31st, 1762.

" I have ordered a court martial for the " the trial of Major Campbell, to fit the 5th

of April, and as I should be glad that it

" might be as public as possible, I should

" be glad you would let it be in the large room

" at your quarters. If, as I hear, the Ma-

" jor should have attempted to make his " escape, it will be proper to move him

" into the fort, and great care should be taken,

" as to the centinels fet over him.

" We have nothing new here, Mr. Swan-

" ton is returned, and I expect the admiral

i in

" in a day or two. I am, with much

e la mada der "Sir,

"Your most obedient humble servant, "Robert Monckton.

" Brigadier General Haviland."

Which is the only letter or order he at any time received relative to Mr. Campbell's confinement. The deponent's answer to this letter was, that he had not heard of his having attempted an escape; but if he should would move him, and take the proper precautions with regard to the centinels. He added, that Mr. Campbell was not moved during his command.

Q. Did he receive any order from Major General Monchton prohibiting any person whatever coming near Mr. Campbell's quarters during his confinement, anterior to and during his trial?

A. Never; he heard nothing on the subject of Mr. Campbell or his confinement, either from the adjutant general, or Major General Monckton; but the letter above recited, and some orders for the court martial.

Captain Alexander M'Donald of the 42d regiment of foot, whose testimony Mr. Camp-C 4 bell bell had before declined, was again proposed by him as a witness. Mr. Campbell alledging, that a doubt then entertained, whether the examining Captain M. Donald as a witness, might not prejudice a cause not depending before this court, had been the only reason of his not examining him; and that difficulty being now removed, he intreated, the court would receive his evidence respecting the article which charges the desendant with discouraging his friends, intimidating his witnesses, and depriving him of the lawful means of desence."

The court defirous of receiving every evidence that could be offered touching so interesting and extraordinary an allegation, and Major General Monckton not objecting, indulged Mr. Campbell in his request; and the said

Captain M'Donald was accordingly sworn and examined;

2. Whether he received any orders from Major General Monckton for giving direction to the the officers of the regiment not to see Major Campbell, or go near his quarters, prior to or during his trial?

A. He

A. He don't remember any orders of that kindal vosto tiv of moofall at reprofit with the

2. Did he receive any order from Major General Monckton, that " no person what-" foever be permitted to visit Major Com-" mandant Campbell, but in presence of the " officer of the guard; and that all letters, both to and from the major be examined, " by the commanding officer of the regi-

" ment ?" A. He can't remember any fuch order.

2. Does he recollect any order from Major General Monckton, that " no ferjeant, cor-" poral, drummer, or foldier belonging to the " regiment upon pain of the severest punish-" ment and the general's displeasure, will pre-" fume to appear to give evidence, unless he is furnmoned by the court martial, or or-"dered by Captain M'Donald to attend?"

A. He remembers no fuch order.

2 (by the court) Has the deponent his orderly book here?

A. No.

Mr. Campbell here acquainted the court he should not trouble them with the examination of any more witnesses; but desired leave to **fubmit** 

fubmit some observations upon the evidence, as it now stands; in the course whereof he lamented the death of the adjutant of the regiment, whom he alledged to have been a very material witness for him in many parts of the case, and whose loss has left a chasm in the evidence, which cannot be supplied.

As to the first head of charge, he alledged that he thought himself and his corps particularised to their disadvantage by the exchange of arms, which has been proved, as well as in some other instances, which the court did not permit him to enter upon.

With regard to the second head of charge, "that of discouraging his friends, intimida"ting his witnesses, and depriving him of the lawful means of defence:" he admitted, that as the evidence stands, he must be deemed to have failed altogether in the proof of it; but still insisted, that orders were given out, (though he had not been able to trace them to Major General Monckton) which, if proved to the court, would appear to have a direct tendency thereto.

Of the third head of complaint, he acknowledged that Major General Monckton

stands

stands honourably acquitted, the Earl of Albemarle's evidence having fully cleared that point; but hoped the court will likewise acquit him, the complainant of any intention to make an unjust attack on the character of Major General Monckton in this instance, for his lordship's letter which is before the court, assigns no reason to him for not deciding upon the sentence, but only mentions the proceedings being sent to England; and as it appears they were not in fact then transmitted, he might reasonably presume, that the Major General who was to transmit them, had injured him by withholding them from his lordship.

The unnecessary and unexampled severity, (as he termed it) of his confinement in the fort, he conceives to be fully proved; and, although he confesses that he does not owe the severity thereof to Major General Monckton, nor yet to Colonel Rufane, he cannot but impute his having been removed, to the fort, to Major General Monckton, from whom that intention sirst proceeded; and submits, whether any sufficient reason, has been, or can be assigned, why a more strict confinement was necessary after he had been sentenced to be cashiered, than whilst

it was uncertain whether the sentence might not be capital.

And upon the whole he took occasion to declare, that altho' he may be thought to have acted incautiously and unadvisedly in exhibiting a charge against Major General Monckton, which he has not been able to support in all points, that he has not been influenced in the doing of it by any other motive, than a desire of doing himself justice, and vindicating his honour and character from the aspersions which have been thrown out upon them.

## DEFENCE.

Major General Manchton entering upon his defence, reminded the court of the objection, which he had made upon the opening of the court martial to the form of the charge, as differing from that of the profecutor delivered to his Majesty's secretary at war, and to which he thought it necessary to make his defence, but had submitted to the opinion of the court, which directed that the prosecutor should proceed upon the charge, as stated in the King's warrant; but agreeable to the intimation then given by the court, that he would be at liberty to interweave them in his

his defence, he now submits the necessity of their hearing the four articles of charge, with the prosecutor's explanation thereof, which he is the more solicitous to lay before them, as he would be glad to convince the court that he defends himself most minutely to every part of the explanation of the articles.

The Judge Advocate acquainted the court, that he had for their information obtained the original memorial, articles of charge, and explanations of those articles from his Majesty's fecretary at war, who had commissioned him to fay, that the whole had been laid before his Majesty, and that those articles which are totally omitted in the King's warrant, were not left out inadvertently, but upon confideration thereof his Majesty had not thought the matter therein contained, proper for the cognizance of a general court martial: that as to the other articles, the fecretary of war very readily submitted them to the inspection of the general court martial, for their confideration, but thought it proper to apprife them, that a part of the memorial relates to persons not before the court, and has no manner of connection with the present

Major General Monckton thereupon confenting that such part of the memorial as did not respect him, should be omitted, the remainder of the memorial, together with the articles to which the charge had been reduced by the complainant, as likewise the explanation were read, as follows,

- "To the Right Hon. Welbore Ellis, Efq; Secretary at War, &c. &c. &c.
- " The memorial of Colin Campbell, Esq;
- " late Major Commandant of the 100th re-
- " giment
  - " Humbly sheweth,
  - " That during the fervice in Martinique,
- " General Monckton took every method, the
- " most flagrant, partial and unbecoming
- an officer of oppressing the memoralist,
- " which can be proved by fea and land of-
- " ficers, and tho' the memoralist had fur-
- " rendered himself voluntarily, and entreated
- " a trial, the general's treatment deprived
- " him of all means of defence.
- " That General Monckton unbecoming an
- " officer, discouraged the memoralist's friends,

" and

" and intimidated his evidence, and pub-

" lickly encouraged his avowed profecutor

" to promote his ruin, in whose favour, and

" during the memoralist's trial, the whole

" army knows the general did not even

" fcruple to confirm the most illegal and ini-

" quitous proceedings.

"That though General Monchton knew the Earl of Albemarle was hourly expected

" to take the command of the army, yet

" in order to deprive the memoralist of all

" the means of redress he might have hoped

from his lordship's good disposition, to

" whom he made his application on his

" lordship's arrival. The general, in a

" manner unbecoming an officer, and un-

" worthy of a foldier, meanly told his lord-

" ship, that the proceedings were sent to

" England, tho' they were then, and after

" Lord Albemarle sailed, in General Monck-

" ton's possession, which can be incontestably

" proved.

" That it can be evidently proved, and

will appear upon the face of the proceed-

" ings, that the minutes have been mutilated,

" and parts of evidence suppressed, to deceive

his Majesty and ruin your memoralist.

" That

That, when General Monckton found the memoralist's sentence did not affect his life, he attempted to deprive him of it, by the most inhuman and shocking treatment, which can be proved from or ders on that occasion.

"That the irregular and illegal proceedings
"of the court martial, have been annulled
by his Majesty."

"These facts laid before you, Sir, it is hoped will ensure your protection and peculiar attention.

"Encouraged from the justice of his cause, the memoralist pleads no merit on this occasion (however powerful) from either family, services or sufferings; he pleads not even his own, tho' he served from his youth, has been wounded in the service, and purchased his preferment: his character and conduct unblemished, and unimpeached, will appear on his trial, and can be vouched by many of his Majesty's fervants.

"The memoralist claims not pity, but ignitice, he has been hitherto precluded not only from the means of defence, but also redress.

" redress. He now lays his case before you,

"Sir, and intreats your attention.

"To you, Sir, as his Majesty's secretary at

" war, the memorialist makes his appeal and

" application, imploring you will lay his

" case before the king.

" Most humbly praying his Majesty will

" be graciously pleased to order a general

" court martial, or fuch other enquiry into

" the conduct of General Monckton, re-

" lative to the memorialist's charge, as his

" Majesty shall think fit.

"The memorialist implores his Majesty's

" royal and gracious protection, in justice to a faithful and loyal subject, in justice to

" his family and friends, many of whom are

" now in his Majesty's service, most innocent

" partakers of your memorialist's unmerited

" fufferings and misfortunes.

"Which is most humbly submitted."

## ARTICLES.

" The following charge laid before his

" Majesty's secretary at war, by Colin Campbell,

" Esq; late Major Commandant of his Ma-

" jesty's 100th regiment:

Pray-

" Praying his Majesty will be graciously

" pleased to order a general court martial

" or fuch other enquiry into the conduct

" of Major General Robert Monckton, rela-

" tive to the following charge, as his Majesty

" shall think fit to appoint.

" 1/t, For premeditated and determined

" acts of oppression and cruelty towards Ma-

" jor Campbell during the service in Marti" nique, and also endeavouring both before

" and during Major Campbell's trial to dif-

" courage his friends, intimidate his evi-

" dence, and depriving him of all means of

" defence to accomplish his ruin.

" 2dly, For a conduct unbecoming an officer and the commander in chief of an

" army, and for conferring pecuniary rewards

" during Major Campbell's trial, on his avow-

" ed enemy and profecutor; by confirming in

" his favour the most illegal, infamous and

" iniquitous proceedings.

" 3rdly, For meanly and unbecoming

" the character of a gentleman and an of-

" ficer, falfifying his word to deprive Major

" Campbell of all means of redress from

" the Earl of Albemarle, who arrived in

" Martinique soon after the trial.

" 4thly,

" Campbell's trial to deprive him of life by the most shocking and inhumane treatment, in order to screen himself from justice, and Major Campbell from suture redress.

"That the minutes of the court martial have been mutilated, and parts of evidence fuppressed to deceive his Majesty, and ruin Major Gampbell, which can be incontestibly proved upon the face of the proceedings, which shall be submitted to the cognizance of a general court martial, or such other court of inquiry, as his Majesty shall think sit to appoint in consequence of the aforesaid charge. Certified and figned by me in London, the 15th day of December 1763.

" C. Campbell."

## Explanation of the Articles.

end foot and full

" If, If to disarm the regiment, which "Major Campbell had the honour to com"mand at Martinique, in the most ignomious manner, at the time when a corps of negroes was lest in quiet possession of it's arms: if every possible affront and D 2 indignity

"indignity both to his person and his corps
to deprive him of every opportunity of service, to supercede him in every command,
which his rank intitled him to, and all
without the least avowed or oftensible cause,
without the least publick charge of misconduct or incapacity, are allowed to be acts
of partiality, cruelty, and oppression from a
general to an officer under his command,
General Monckton shall be proved to have
treated Major Campbell in the most cruel
and oppressive manner, before the period
of his most unfortunate accident.

"It shall be proved, that notwithstanding "Major Campbell surrendered himself imme"diately after his rencontre, and instead of avoiding, sollicited and demanded a trial, he was guarded like a mutineer or a traitor, beset with centinels at each door and window of his house, and in every respect treated like a man condemned before he was tried.

"It shall be proved that in order to ag"gravate the misery of his confinement, or"ders were issued from head quarters, That
"no person whatever should be permitted to
"see Major Campbell, but in presence of the
"officer

( 55 )) " officer of the guard, and that all letters to " him and from him should be examined by " the commanding officer of the regiment. "It shall be proved, that the officers of " his corps were forbid to visit him; that " his friends were denied admission to him, who came to take instructions from him " for managing his defence upon his trial; "that the strictest orders were given, that " no foldier should presume, on pain of the " general's displeasure and the severest pu-" nishment, to appear or offer their evidence " in defence of Major Campbell, unless they "were ordered by the commanding officer, " or fummoned by the court martial. "It shall be proved, that by this treat-" ment, and by the terror of these orders, Maior Campbell was most injuriously deprived " of the affistance of his friends, at the very time, " and in the very article when he most needed

08

d;

H

e;

2

ts

2

d,

ve

el

bo

ng

e-

of

al,

or,

n-

ect

he

g-

)r-

nat

to

he

cer

" and in the very article when he most needed it, that his witnesses were intimidated and overawed; and that he was peremptorily denied every benefit and advantage which were granted to the lowest criminal both by the civil and military laws of his country.

"2d Article. A court martial which fat upon the distribution of the enects or the

D 3

de-

" deceased Captain M'Kaarg, had ordered " (for reasons which have not as yet appear-" ed) all or the greatest part of the money s arising from the sale of such effects, to be " paid to a certain Captain Kennedy, in dif-" charge of a gaming debt, alledged by the faid " Captain Kennedy to be owing to him by " Captain M'Kaarg; but for which pretendfed debt he could not produce a fingle "voucher, or the least title or proof; such a decision surprised the whole army, as it " was made in prejudice of all the just and " fair creditors of the deceased, who had prowed their debts before the court martial, " many of which debts were recognized and " ascertained by a letter from the secretary at " war at that time to Major Campbell, and the " ftrongest remonstrances made to him in be-" half of fuch creditors of Captain M'Kaarg's before the unfortunate accident.-The " commanding officer of the regiment being " too cautious to confirm such proceedings, " they were carried to the general, together with an account of the debts of the decea-" fed, and the fecretary at war's letter to "Major Campbell. The references and the ff papers, which accompanied it, were received ed

e

d

e

4

1

er ed and treated with the utmost indecen-" cy and disrespect, and the illegal and erro-" neous decision of the court martial was con-" firmed by the General in favour of a per-" fon, who was the manager and director of " the profecution against Major Campbell, and " who was fo fanguine and determined an ene-" my to him, that he publickly declared, that cost what it would he would hang Major " Campbell; and, it can be proved, that he "lodged and entertained in his own house " the witness against the major; and that soon " after the trial, though he had but the " rank of a captain in the army, he was pos-" fessed of negroes to the amount of 7 or " 800 1. whilst other officers (a few excepted) paid twenty or thirty pounds for the " worst captives taken in Martinique. ad Article. " As foon as the Earl of " Albemarle arrived in Martinique, and took " the command of the army, which hapse pened foon after the trial of Major Camp. . " bell. The major made immediate applica-" tion to his lordship to take the proceedings

" and sentence of his court martial into his "consideration. It can be proved, that Ge" neral Monckton, to prevent the Earl of Al-

neral Monckton, to prevent the Earl of Al-

"bemarle from doing Major Campbell this "justice, assured his lordship that the pro"ceedings of such court martial had been 
fent to England, before his Lordship's ar
rival at Martinique. Whereas it can be 
proved, that such proceedings were at the 
time of the major's application to Lord 
Albemarle, and long after his lordship sail
defer the Havannah, in General Monck
ton's possession.

" Major Campbell, after his 4th Article. " trial and fentence (however unjust it was) "did not effect his life, limb, or liberty, " was thrown into a noisome and unhealthy " prison, unfit for the vilest criminal. Tho' "it was certified to the general by the fur-" geon, who attended the major, that it might " be of the most dangerous consequences to " the major to remove him to fuch a pri-" fon in the very bad state of health, in which " he languished at that time. Notwithstand-" ing the certificate and report of the furgeon, " the general fent a peremptory order for the " major's removal about ten o'clock at night. "-The cruel order was but too well obey-" ed, and a party of armed foldiers were di-" rected by an officer, who conducted them,

to drag the major out of his bed, though

" he was then actually in the hot fit of an

intermitting fever, and to transport him

" like the most infamous felon to the prison

" before mentioned.

"If the verification of these facts will sub-

" stantiate the fourth article of the charge;

"they can be proved beyond contradiction."

Major General Monckton here defired leave to mention, that upon the several articles and explanation now read being communicated to him from the secretary at war, together with his intention of laying them before his Majesty, he had wrote an answer to the following tenor:

## South Audley Street,

26th Fan. 1764. oc Sir.

" I received the honour of your letter, and

" of copies of all the different memorials, or " papers which have been presented to you,

" in relation to the complaint made by Mr.

" Colin Campbell, late Major Commandant

" of his Majesty's 100th regiment of foot.

" I cannot help expressing my astonish-"ment at the insolence, or rather madness of "this unhappy man; for surely his accusa-

" tion

"tion deserves no better name, an accusa"tion, which is absolutely as false as it is
"virulent and indecent.

"I can have no objection to your laying "all the papers before his Majesty, as there "is no part of my conduct, in near twenty-"three years service, which I can have the least doubt of being able to justify, even "in the most solemn manner?

"It is very fortunate for me that the "only accusation against me, for any mission conduct during the time of my command, is made by a man, who has been dissimiled with ignominy from the king's fertice, and whose language upon this occation, denotes his having forgot that he was ever a gentleman.

"Had my conduct, or could it have been fuch, as he dares to represent it; this would not be the only method of redress, obvious to an inflamed imagination. The courts of law were open, and upon making good his charge, I should certainly deserve to feel the weight of that fort of justice, added to the displeasure of my sovereign.

"I shall submit with great satisfaction to whatever mode of enquiry his Majesty "shall

fhall in his wisdom think best: yet give me leave to make one observation to you. Tho I can't fear the sentence of any court martial, yet that method is attended with great speculation, and in suture the idea of a trial remains in the minds of men, when they are not candid enough to annex to it the idea of an honourabte acquittal. This I would not have you take in the light of an objection, but merely a sentiment thrown out by a man, who is and has always been highly tender of his honour.

"As you propose laying the papers to"morrow before the king, I shall take the
"the same opportunity of laying myself,
"and the justice of my cause at his Maje"fty's feet.

" I am, &c.

"The Right Honourable the Secretary at War."

Major General Monckton, after opening the substance of his defence, and acquainting the court, that although he had many witnesses to most of the facts alledged against him, he would call as few as possible, and upon the slightest intimation of his going

ing into things immaterial or superfluous, would immediately desist, proceeded to the examination of

Captain Sir Henry Seaton, Bart. who was fworn and examined;

- 2. Did he command a company of light infantry on the expedition to Martinique?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Did he exchange the arms, which the company brought with them with Major Campbell's corps, and what arms did he give them in exchange?
- A. He did exchange with a part of Major Campbell's corps; and those, he gave in the place of them, were all fit for service the day they landed in Martinique; the exchange happened a few days after, and he very well recollects they had suffered little or nothing.
- 2. (by defire of Mr. Campbell) Does he know of any other corps of light infantry, which exchanged arms with the 100th regiment?
- A. He don't recollect any.
- 2. Did he exchange the whole arms of his company with them?
  - A. Yes.

2. Of what number of men did his com-

A. He can't positively say; but thinks

about fixty.

Major Alexander Brown, who commanded the brigade of Barbadoes volunteers, was sworn and questioned;

Q. Of what did his corps confift; of white or black men?

A. Of white men; except that there were about thirty blacks among five hundred.

2. Were they not free men?

A. Yes.

Lieutenant Colonel John Darby, before examined in the course of the trial, was questioned;

2. Was there any corps of negroes in arms during the expedition to to Martinique?

A. None. There were some few negroes, he believes, mixed in the Barbadoes volunteers.

2. Was Major Campbell ever superceded in any command?

A. Never.

2. Did the deponent ever report to Major General Monckton, and when, that Major Campbell had made a false return? Did Major jor

jor General Monckton shew any inclination to take advantage of that report to oppress Major Campbell?

- A. He did make such report, soon after the killing Captain M'Kaarg: Major General Monckton's answer was very humane, respecting Major Campbell; he seemed to pity his situation, and did not appear to take the notice of the report he might have done.
- 2. (By defire of Mr. Campbell) Who was field officer next in command to Lieutenant Colonel Melvill upon the detachment from Guadalupe, when the army landed in Martinique?

A. by the Roster it would have been Major Gordon Graham; it was very distant from

Major Campbell.

Lieutenant Colonel Eyre Massey was

- L. Q. Was he president of the court martial held in Martinique, for the trial of Major Campbell?
  - A. He was.
- 2 Did Major Campbell complain to the court of his evidence being suppressed, or his friends intimidated?

A. Never,

awould thob sli &

A. Never.

2. Did he mention his being under any the least constraint in his preparation for his desence?

A. Not in the least.

2. (By defire of Mr. Campbell) Did he receive any message from Major General Monckton, during his trial by any sticer?

A. He never did indeed:

Colonel William Rufane, before sworn, in the course of the trial, was again examined:

2. Was he Brigadier upon the late expedition to Martinique?

A. He was.

2. What was his opinion of Major Campbell's corps, which was in his brigade?

A. They were the worst body of men he

ever faw brought into a field.

Q. Did he ever hear in the army, or had he any cause to think that Major Campbell was slighted by Major General Monckton, or superceded in any command he had a just title to?

A. He never heard any fuch thing faid in the army, nor had he any cause to think it.

2. (By Mr. Campbell) by whom were Major Campbell's corps raised?

A. He

A. He don't know.

Major General Monchton here mentioned, that he did not mean to reflect upon Mr. Campbell in respect of the corps; but to obviate the objection made by Mr. Campbell to the manner in which they were employed.

Lieutenant Colonel Darby was again ex-

2. Did Major General Monckton iffue any orders by him, or to his knowledge by any other, which might tend to oppress Mr. Campbell?

A. Never.

Captain Alexander M'Donald, sworn in the former part of the trial, was again examined;

2. Was he commanding officer of the 100th regiment after Major Campbell's confinement?

A. Yes.

2. Did he ever receive any orders, either from the head quarters, or otherwise, for-bidding the officers of the corps under his command, to visit Major Campbell in his confinement before his trial; or for denying his friends admission to him, who came to take instructions

defence upon his trial?

A. He don't remember he ever did. yay

2. Did Major Campbell appear to him to enjoy an uninterrupted intercourse with his friends, during the time of his confinement.

A. His friends were allowed to fee him,

he knows nothing to the contrary.

۲.

-

Q (By defire of Mr. Campbell) was any person forbid seeing him, except in the presence of an officer of the guard?

A. He don't remember.

Adjourned till to morrow ten o'clock.

Wednesday 18th April.

The court being met pursuant to adjournment,

Major General Monckton after expressing his concern, that he was not at liberty to enter into a refutation of that part of Mr. Campbell's complaint, delivered to his Majesty, which had not been thought proper to be referred to a court martial (and which, if referred, would have come next in order) proceeded to the third article, upon which, as the Earl of Albemarle's evidence has already set this matter in it's true light, he should only examine his secretary to shew,

that the proceedings of Mr. Campbell's court martial were transmitted to England by the very first opportunity, and consequently, that there had been no view to protracting his confinement.

Major General Monckion upon the expedition to Martinique was sworn and examined;

Monckton's letter to the secretary at war, with the original proceedings of Major Campbell's court martial?

A. The date of that letter was the 3rd May, 1762, as it stands in the letter book.

2. Was Major General Monckion obliged by want of a proper conveyance, by a man of war from Martinique, to carry his dispatches for the ministry, and the proceedings of Mr. Campbell's court martial down to Antigua; and were they not dispatched by the first man of war which sailed?

A. The general was under a necessity for the reasons mentioned to carry his dispatches to Antigua, and sent them by the first man of war, which sailed from thence.

only examine his fecretary to thew,

Major

Major General Monckton then proceeded to the last article respecting Mr. Campbell's confinement in the fort. to amish vol.

Mr. Porter was further examined; 19119

2. Did Major General Monckton order him to write a letter to Mr. Campbell, in anfwer to one received from him, defiring leave to go to England; and what did he write in consequence of that order? onolo

A. Major General Monckton did order him to write such a letter; whether the general figned the letter, or the deponent by his order, he can't recollect; in the hurry of business not then appearing extremely material no copy of the letter was kept, but to the best of his recollection at this distance of time, the letter was to this effect: "That " as his court martial was to be fent to Eng-

" land in order to its being laid before his

" Majesty for his approbation, the general

" was forry he could not think himfelf au-

"thorised to grant his request of going to

" England, but that in the mean time he

" fliould be lodged in the fort of Fort Royal,

" esteemed one of the healthiest places in the

" island of Martinique, and that he should

" readily contribute all in his power to alleed to resting officer of the

dioe

" viate the disagreeable circumstances of his to the last article respecting 'themeningo's

2. (by defire of Mr. Campbell) Was the letter prior or subsequent to Lord Albemarle's id Major Congral Monceton! Isvina

A. He don't recollect positively that, but to the best of his memory it was shortly after Mr. Campbell's trial of or of overl

Colonel William Rufane was again exa-

mined :

Q. Was the fort at Fort Royal esteemed one of the healthiest situations in the island of Martinique? soli recollect support to

A. It was. grande not then abatilud lo 2. Did he succeed Major General Monckton in the command at Martinique, and at what particular time ? and routed and coming

A. He did; and his appointment to that

command was dated the 8th May 1762.

2. (by defire of Mr. Campbell) Did he ever know or hear that Major Campbell attempted or endeavoured to make his escape prior to his confinement in the fort?

A. He never heard any fuch thing prior to Major General Monckton's leaving the island.

Captain David Haldane was again examined; rawou sid at Ha atadistaco villos

2. Did he, as commanding officer of the 100th

rooth regiment, receive a letter from Colonel Chefter, then commanding at Fort Royal, relative to the removal of Mr. Campbell into the fort of Fort Royal? To ambab vd) &

A. He did receive a letter from Colonel

Chester the 14th May.

2. Did he receive a letter from Mr. Campbell in answer to Colonel Chefter's order, transmitted to him by the deponent from an

A. He did vog od bluodi dommad na

The letter was then produced, and admitted by Mr. Campbell, as follows:

Sir.

"You certainly don't confider what time " of night it is, and that you only shewed " me Colonel Chefter's orders but this mo-A. Mr. Campbell' une ted it midden?

"He can't be unreasonable enough to ex-" pect me into the fort this night, and I am " fure it would be equally improper to dif-"turb him by my writing, when it may be "done with more propriety early in the "morning o val i an deponent de Ogninom?"

knowledge; but bel enoying he went on

" C. Campbell,

" Past 9 o'clock," wall .

Collin a to E 3 up soult mo Q. Was

or next day? as Mr. Campbell removed that night, or next day? as it is commanding at the commanding at

Of the define of Mr. Cambbell Was he

2. (by defire of Mr. Campbell). Was he not ordered to be carried in an hammock to the fort?

he was to that effect, many saw and that if an hammock thould be provided for him:

2. Was an hammock found Myd bestim

A. No.

want of an hammock, which sprevented his being transported that night? Local 2

A. Mr. Campbell intreated it might be postponed till next morning, as he had been sick. I has adjusted the fort and adjusted the fort adjusted the fort adjusted the fort and adjusted the fort adjusted the

next day carried in an hammock, or how did' hedgod when yisingong from diw and it

A. The deponent can't say of his own knowledge; but believes that he went on horseback, he heard so.

2. How far was "it? old o fla?"

A. About three quarters of a mile.

Major

Major General Monckton observed to the court, that an hammock is the usual way of conveyance in Martinique for ladies on parties of pleasure.

Lieutenant Colonel Darby was again exa-

2. Whether he ever faw or heard of a certificate fent to Major General Monckton of the furgeon of the rooth regiment, reprefenting the place ordered for Mr. Campbell's confinement, as improper?

A. No.

Q. (by defire of Mr. Campbell) Is an hammock a common conveyance for fick to the hospital in the West Indies?

A. When the deponent was so sick, he could neither ride nor walk, he has been

carried himself in an hammock.

2. Did he ever see a man in perfect health carried in an hammock there?

A. Not, unless it was a person lame, who

appeared otherwise in health.

Captain Matthew Leslie was sworn and examined;

2. Was he deputy quarter master general at Martinique, at the time of Major Campbell's removal into the fort?

E 4

Major General Monchion obler 3H. Anc

2. Does he know the appartment, in which Mr. Campbell was lodged in the fort of Fore Royal? Lieutenant Colonel Darby was again.

Being directed to describe it, he said, That he was defired to appoint a place in the fort for the reception of Mr. Campbell, and as the fort was greatly crowded from the number of officers he was obliged to quarter there, he had some difficulty in finding a place for him, lest some of the officers, whom he must have turned out to accommodate him, should be jealous.—The place afterwards allotted was the place defired to be appointed for him, and in consequence the deponent changed the quarters of a ftore keeper and one of the conductors of the ordnance, for whom that had been allotted.—A few days before the officers were put into the fort of Fort Royal, a lieutenant of the artillery and his wife, whom the deponent had removed from the upper part of the fort, for the reception of the commanding officer, requested the deponent, that he would permit him to go down to the house, which was afterwards appointed for Mr. Campbell, as it was

an healthy fituation, his wife being much indisposed, (rather) than take a quarter in the town, which the deponent had offered him.-The place confifted of a lower apparts ment and an upper one; the rlower appartment, he speaks to the best of judgment, was about ten or eleven feet square; it was fel tuated over one of the entrances to the cafmate, and the port cullis, which covered the entrance, was drawn up into it. b The upper room! was much darger, and la very good bed chamber it It was on the windward fide of the fort, and a thorough air throughout all the place. It may have been fubject to wet after rain, las most places there were; but it presently got dry and when the deponent appointed the place, he spoke to the engineer to put it in the best repair he could for the reception of Mr. Campbells There was a kitchen in the neighbourhood of this, which Mr. Campbell had the use of in common with another officer. He added that this was the house, where the French governor Nadeau had been confined.

wounded officers being worse lodged in Martinique, than Mr. Campbell was in the fort?

A. There

an healthy fituationyname were many church ni 2.1 (by defire of Mr. Campbell) Was Mr. Nadeau, to his knowledge, confined to the him .- The place confided noislaure might deline - He veahnot speak from his own known ledge of Mr. Nadeau having been removed long before he came, but from report. 180ds - 2. Did any steps go down to Mr. Campbell's appartment, and how many? bus short 19 A. He don't recollect how many fleps the floor of the lower appartment was lower than the ground, but over the casmate bit was a place where half a dozen people might out all the place. It may have benth svad 2. Where was Mr. Campbell's bed ? Was it possible for Mr. Campbell to have fixed his the deponent synemical apparage and in bed A. It was very possible. He was not within the appartment whilst Mr. Campbell was confined there, the only time he faw him, Mr. Campbell was walking out in the open air, but within the works, with a parthat this was the hould, whomed sid inition 2. (by the court) Had Mr. Campbell the free use of both the lower and upper room above described that guidd smith believed

RoMe. A van Mr. Cambbelt was in the fort i

A. There

A Most certainly no body interfered with him, they were both allotted for him.

2 (by Mr Campbell) Does he know what guard was put upon Mr. Campbell in the fort?

and He really don't know . M vd) @

Captain George Gorth of the first regin ment of foot guards, was fworn and exa-A. It is; those are the whole dink beginn

2. Whether he was chief engineer at Martinique, at the time of Mr. Campbell's removal into the fort at Fort Royal?

- He never was in it but saw all A. 2. Does he know the appartment in which Mr. Campbell was lodged in the fort? and defired to describe it; washing anied at mid
- A. As he was appointed chief engineer, he went to examine the buildings; and that appartment amongst the rest. To the best of his recollection, it is a small stone house of about nine or ten feet wide, by thirteen or fourteen feet deep; a small garret; the floor of the house, he believes, might be sunk about eighteen or twenty inches below the furface. There was a communication to the calmate underneath.
- 2 Were there any instances of fick and wounded officers being worse lodged in Martinique,

A. He thinks the appartmentalloued to Major Campbell was better than those which some officers had allotted to them in the fort.

2. (by Mr. Campbell) Is not the paffage into the house included in the space of nine or ten feet by thirteen or fourteen deep?

A. It is; those are the whole dimensions of the appartment.

2. Did tie ever see Major Campbell's ap-

partment under water? 101 out out a

A. He never was in it but once, and then it was dry.

Did Major Campbell ever mention to him its being under water?

A. He don't remember he did.

2. (by the court) Had Mr. Campbell the free use of both the lower and upper room?

A. He never was in the house after Mr. Campbell was there, of course he can't say, what was allotted to him.

Captain Philip Webdall of the royal regiment of artillery was fworn and examined;

2. Did he live in the fort near Mr. Campbell, the profecutor, at Fort Royal in Martinique?

A. Yes.

Q. Was Mr. Campbell confined closely to

his appartment or not?

A. He had the liberty of walking the length of about 100 yards one way, and about 70 yards another, with an orderly ferjeant.

2. (by defire of Mr. Campbell) Did any other guard besides the orderly serjeant at-

tend him?

A. The deponent has fometimes feen a centinel attend him likewise, at some distance.

Q. On what occasion has he known Mr. Campbell have permission to walk out?

A. He never took any particular notice of the occasion.

Was there a necessary house within

100 yards of the appartment?

A He don't remember any till the deponent built one, which was after Mr. Campbell was gone: there was one without the barrier, which the deponent believes might be about 150 yards.

2. Did he ever see Mr. Campbell's house

under water?

A. Mr. Campbell called the deponent in, to thew him how wet his room was: the deponent answered, he believed it came from the **fpray** P. Does

ipray of the rain at the door! Mr. Campbell replied, it came through the walls: the deponent differed in opinion, as he had often feen that place before, and when the doors and windows had been that in rainy weather, had gone in afterwards, but did not perceive it wet.

2. What was the fize of the lower room?

A. The deponent never measured it, but believes it to be about 13 feet one way, and 10 the other, or thereabouts.

2. Does he include the fally port in this

He never took any particu

fpace?

A. No.

2. Does he know what use was generally made of the sally port, which went

under Mr. Campbell's room?

A. There was a large stair case, which people passed and repassed to go into the subterraneous and underground works: likewise a passage upon occasion to the smith's and carpenter's, and wheeler's shops; it likewise led to the oven where the French baked their bread during the time of the siege.

2. Does he know, whether it had been

cleaned out fince the fiege?

A. He took no notice.

of 210 Does he know of any notione fmell or stench from that place under the room?

A. It had an earthy mouth firell, as most fubterraneous passages have thob off . 9.

1 Q! (by the court) Had Mr. Campbell the free use of both the lower and upper room?

There! was nothing locked up from Mr. Campbell, he had the use of every part of the appartment , of course he must, for his fervant laid in the upper part! 000

Mr. John Adair, furgeon, was sworn and

examined;

2. Was he not director of the hospital at Martinique? A. He believes not; he don't reprember

2. Had not the foldiers of the 100th regiment admission into the general hospital

equal with any other corps?

A. They had. There was no distinction between any of the corps.—There were of Mr. Campbell's corps in the general hospital in the month of January 78; in the month of February 80; in the month of March 47; in the month of April 22; and he appeals to the general returns in the adjutant general's hands for a confirmation hereof.

2. Did he ever refuse, upon the application

tion of the furgeon of Mr. Campbell's for the 1 ooth) regiment, admission; for any of the men of that regiment into the hospital?

2. He don't recollect, but very probably he did the haspitals being often so much crowded, that they only took in the men. who had the worst cases, into the hospital of the fick of the army; the rest were taken care of in the regimental hospitals by their own furgeons. his fervant laid in the upper

2. (by the court) Did he ever refuse the furgeon of the 100th regiment admission of any of the men, when the hospital was not full?

A. He believes not; he don't remember it, but it may have happened; the hospital may have been full in the morning, and in the afternoon other patients may have been

colonel Francis Grant was sworn and examined:

2. Was he brigadier upon the expedition to Martinique ? in the month of the world !!

A. Yes.

What was his opinion of Major Campbell's corps ? al notamilato a tol shigh elar

A. He never faw a corps, to his knowledge, tion

ledge, which made so bad an appearance in every respect. Being desired to mention in what particular, he explained himself.—
"Badly cloathed, and bad looking men, as "to age, size, and every thing."

2. Does he know of any act of Major General Monchton, tending to oppress Major

Campbell?

A. He never did know any, nor heard it furmised.

2. (by the court) Did he look upon the regiment, as corps fit for service?

A. He did not; as many of them as were fit for service were employed.

Captain Sir Henry Seaton, Bart. was again examined;

- Q. Did Major Campbell frequent the head quarters as other officers did, during the campaign; and till the time of his confinement?
- A. A very short time before his confinement he came to St. Peter's, Major General Monchton's head quarters, and attended the general's levee almost every day; dined with the general, and generally passed the evening with him.

2. Did

2. Did he appear to be discontented with regard to Major General Montkton's disposition of his corps, or with Major General Montkton, as it may reasonably be supposed he would, had Major General Montkton treated him or his corps with indig-

nity?

A. He never heard him talk on the subject of his corps, and always imagined, that Major Campbell had no more reason to complain, than any other officer in the army; otherwise the deponent would not have been so industrious to force his company upon the general. For having the honour of being Major General Monckton's aid-de-camp, he asked Major Campbell to dine and sup there almost every day.

2. (by defire of Mr. Campbell) At what distance was Major Campbell's corps from

head quarters?

A. Twenty miles or thereabouts.

2. Did he ever see Major Campbell more than once at St. Peter's at head quarters?

A. Major Campbell came only once; but

Q. Was it above three days?

A. To

A. To the best of his memory, it was ten or twelve; certainly more than three.

2. Does he know whether Major Campbell was then sent for, and desired to come to head quarters by Admiral Rodney?

A. He never heard he was.

2. Did Admiral Rodney in his presence request leave for Mr. Campbell and his corps to go for the desence of Jamaica?

A. Never in his presence; he has heard

it faid, but not at that time.

2. Did he hear Mr. Campbell complain of his being refused upon that occasion going upon service?

A. He never heard Major Campbell make

a complaint of any kind.

Major General Monckton then concluded

his defence to the following effect:

I have now, gentlemen, done with the evidence.—After first declaring most solemnly to this court, that I cannot charge myfelf with ever having entertained a single thought in the least tending to the injury of the prosecutor, which in his charge has been so virulently expressed;—I shall only take

the liberty of adding a very few reflections upon this extraordinary trial.

The accusation against me was so wild and violent, as not to bear in it the smallest probability of truth. Lord Albemarle, or any officer of reputation, might have been called upon, and the asking a very few questions, would have immediately proved how false and unjustifiable the prosecutor's charge would appear; instead of this, the bitter memorial, and charge, which has been read to you, was presented to his Majesty; and I had the mortification of standing in the presence of my sovereign, accused of the blackess.

As I knew no guilt, I could not want the fecretary at war to screen me from justice; but I thought the prosecutor's circumstances, and my character, would both join in recommending some fort of inquiry, before so strange an accusation should reach his Majesty's ear.

My concern in this case is not confined to myself; I feel for the service; I feel for the dignity of my rank: I leave it to you, gentlemen, to reslect on the consequences, if malice and despair are so easily allowed to strike

strike at innocence; and a prosecutor, under such circumstances, as Mr. Campbell, shall be able to bring a commander in chief, as a criminal, to your bar.

I thank the court for their great candour and attention, and shall give them no farther

trouble.

The court is of opinion, that the charge and-complaint of Colin Campbell, Esq; against Major General Robert Monckton is altogether unsupported by evidence, and in some points expressly contradicted by the complainant's own witnesses; and doth therefore most honourably acquit the faid Major General Monckton of the same and every part thereof. And the court is farther of opinion, that the faid charge and complaint is groundless, malicious, and scandalous in the highest degree, and tending not only to injure the faid Major General Monckton in his character, but to hurt the fervice in general, as it must greatly affect every officer, who may have the honour of commanding a body of his Majesty's troops, when he reflects that his character and reputation are liable to be thus publickly attacked by a person, who has been

been dismissed his Majesty's service with ig-

nominy.

It is likewise the opinion of this court, that the complainant Colin Campbell, Esq; has, by many falcities imposed upon his Majesty's secretary at war, in order to obtain a court martial.

JOHN MORDAUNT.

A true Copy,
Chas. Gould,
Judge Advocate's Office,
15th May 1764.

APPENDIX.

## APPENDIX.

Major General Monckton's answers to Mr. Campbell's articles of charge, and explanation, as read to the Court Martial, introductory to his defence. Which answers were prepared upon a supposition that the Major General was to defend himself minutely to every part of the said explanation of the charge, in the form it was laid before his Majesty, and which answers, he was prepared (if thought necessary) to support by evidence.

DEFENCE. ARTICLE Ift.

WHEN Major Commandant Campbell's corps arrived at Martinique, it appeared to me so very indifferent a body of men F 4 (being

(being chiefly composed of rawand very young boys, and of men advanced in years) that I thought proper to order that a part of them should exchange their heavy arms with some of the light infantry for their suspenses, which were lighter, and better adapted to the seeble bodies of Major Campbell's corps. Experience had also taught, that heavy arms were better suited to the corps of light infantry, which were a chosen body of men, on whom I had the utmost dependence, and many of whose officers had sollicited me for such exchange.

Preparatory to the fiege of Fort Royal, finding that notwithstanding the assistance of negroes which we had, it would be necessary to employ some of the troops in the fatigues of carrying stores, &c. I ordered the greater part of Major Campbell's corps, and of the Barbadoes volunteers more particularly and permanently, to be employed in these fort of works, as raw troops that had never seen any service, and therefore could be more properly dispensed with from the essential operations of the siege, than soldiers innured to war. But still it was only a part of these two corps that were thus employed

employed; for, from each were selected a detachment of their best men, who did duty with the other troops.

There was no fuch thing as a corps of negroes in arms, during the fiege of Martinique, without a hoe for turning up the earth, and a cutlass for cutting sugar canes (which were furnished them by their different islands) can be called arms.

I never superceded Major Campbell in any command, nor ever deprived him of any opportunity of service. Such treatment would surely have drawn remonstrances from him; but no such did I ever receive during my command at Martinique.

I was at St. Peters, about 20 miles diftant from Fort Royal, when what Major Campbell terms a rencounter, appeared to me by the court of inquiry held thereon, to be murder. It became incumbent upon me to give order that a person under such dreadful imputation should have centinels placed upon him.

As to the remaining part of this article of charge, I shall only observe, that had Major Campbell laboured under the arbitrary and cruel oppression there mentioned, he certainly

certainly would not have omitted so striking a plea in his favour, when upon his trial, the time of all other, when the laying open such a scene must have availed him the most; but in the proceedings of his court martial, there is not to be found the smallest mention of any tendency to such acts. This (if there was no other evidence) sufficiently evinces how false and groundless such affertions are.

Indeed, so far was I from entertaining the least thought of oppressing Major Campbell in the unhappy circumstances in which he was involved, that at that time I shewed him rather an unwarrantable piece of lenity, in the not adding to his crime a repeated false return of his, of a quarter master of his regiment, which was reported to me by the adjutant general, and of which, as I was given to understand, there was ample proof.

It will appear, that in the course of Major Campbell's confinement, he had an uninter-

rupted intercourse with his friends.

ARTICE

## ARTICLE II.

I did confirm what Captain M'Donald, commanding officer of the rooth regiment had done in confequence of the regimental court of enquiry; and every body who knows me, I flatter myself, will believe, that that approbation could only proceed from my conviction of its rectitude.

On the contrary, of treating the fecretary. at war's letter with indignity, when Captain M'Donald, commanding officer of the 100th regiment, shewed it to me, I paid so much deference to it, that I immediatety resolved that the person who succeeded to the company of the late Captain M'Kaarg, should be charged with the debt of 90 % which the fecretary at war, in that letter directs to, be stopped from Captain M'Kaarg, and which fum of 90 1. I ordered Capt. Lieut. Paske of the 15th regiment to pay into the hands of the commanding officer of the 100th regiment, upon his promotion to Captain M'Kaarg's vacant company, in order that the faid fum of 90 l. should, according to my intentions, be appropriated to the purpose mentioned in the fecretary at war's letter.

The

The next part of this article is virulent reflection on the deceased Captain Kennedy, in regard to whose memory I cannot help observing that he ever bore the character of a gallant and deserving officer, and truely merited reward; but he unhappily died before he received the smallest reward from me, either pecuniary (as is afferted by Mr. Campbell) or otherwise.—Captain Kennedy was, as I am informed, a relation of the late Captain McKaarg's

My orders, in respect to the negroe captives, were positive and repeated, that no officer should upon any account appropriate to his own use a fingle negroe, but that upon their being taken, they should be fent to a place appointed for their reception, in order that when the fiege was over they might be fold for the public benefit.—I did indulge the officers in general, in the purchase of negroe servants at a moderate price, before the general fale, which money, with what arose from the general sale, I found would be fo inconsiderable to the army in general, from the few captives we had taken, that I intirely allotted it to the subaltern officers of the army only, as the rank which in that expensive

expensive country demanded the most of every pecuniary aid; even this produced them no more than 5 l. a subaltern.

Had it ever come to my knowledge that Captain Kennedy, or any other officer, had disobeyed my orders, in clandestinely appropriating negroes to their own use, such conduct should not have passed uncensured and unpunished.

## ARTICLE III.

Lord Albemarle's evidence having, I hope, acquitted me of that part of the third article of charge, relative to the suppressing of the court martial; I have only to add, that the date of my letter to the secretary at war, with the proceedings of Mr. Campbell's court martial, was the 3d of May, which letter, with some others of importance to the ministry, for want of a safe conveyance by a man of war from Martinique, I was obliged to carry down with me to Antigua, from whence they were dispatched by the first man of war that sailed under the care of Lieutenant Colonel Vaughan, going home for his health.

ARTICLE

## Rose live country deir ador the troff of their no

After Mr. Campbell's trial I received a letter from him, defiring my permission to go to England. To the best of my recollection ffor in the hurry of buliness there was no copy of the letter kept) I ordered my fearetary to answer him, that the proceedings of his court martial were to be transmitted to England, in order to their being laid before his Majesty; and that until the king's pleasure was known thereupon, I was forry I could not think myfelf authorifed to release him from confinement, but that I had directed that he should be lodged in the fort of Fort Royal, esteemed healthier than most parts of the island of Martinique; and that I should readily contribute all in my power to alleviate the difagreeable circumstance of his confinement.

The appartment which was designed for Mr. Campbell in the fort of Fort Royal, was that in which I was informed Mr. Nadeau, the late French governor of Guadaloupe, lived for a considerable time, and will be found to be a very different habitation from what Mr. Campbell represents it. Indeed lodging

was so searce at this time, that there were instances of two or three sick and wounded officers being obliged to lie in the same room.

I do not recollect ever to have seen or heard of a certificate of the surgeon of the rooth regiment, setting forth the impropriety of Mr. Campbell's removal.

Upon my departure from the island of Martinique, I told my successor in the command, Col. Rusane, that it was my intention that Mr. Campbell should be removed to the fort of Fort Royal.

I sailed from Martinique the 9th of May; the order for Mr. Campbell's removal will appear to have been given five or fix days after my departure.

It will also appear, by a letter under Mr. Campbell's own hand, that when the orders for his removal reached him at night, he did not make the smallest complaint of indisposition to prevent such removal, not-withstanding his affertion of being then in the hot fit of an intermitting sever.

Although the order reached him at night he was not removed until the next morning.

Mr.

Mr. Campbell's subsequent conduct evinced the necessity of Colonel Rufane's giving such an order; for when he was, some time after removed, at his own request, from the fort to the town of Fort Royal, where he was lodged to his liking, and when all his pretended grievances, as to place of confinement, must have ceased, he then, almost immediately upon that removal, deferted.

A THE WOOD AND

ROB MONCKTON.



